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Abstract
We construct nonlinear coherent states for the Susskind-Glogower operators by the application of the displacement operator on the vacuum state. We also construct nonlinear coherent states as eigenfunctions of a Hamiltonian constructed with the Susskind-Glogower operators. We generalize the solution of the eigenfunction problem to an arbitrary \(|m\rangle\) initial condition. To analyze the obtained results, we plot the Husimi \(Q\) function, the photon number probability distribution and the Mandel \(Q\)-parameter. For both cases, we find that the constructed states exhibit interesting nonclassical features, such as amplitude squeezing and quantum interferences due to a self-splitting into two coherent-like states. Additionally, we show that nonlinear coherent states may be modeled by propagating light in semi-infinite arrays of optical fibers.
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1 Introduction
Over the years, major effort has been directed towards the generation of nonclassical states of electromagnetic fields, in which certain observables exhibit less fluctuations than in a coherent state, whose noise is referred to as the standard quantum limit (SQL). Nonclassical states that have attracted the greatest interest include (a) macroscopic quantum superpositions of quasiclassical coherent states with different mean phases or amplitudes, also called ”Schrödinger cats” [1, 2], (b) squeezed states [3], whose fluctuations in one quadrature or the amplitude are reduced beyond the SQL, and (c) the particularly important limit of extreme squeezing, i.e. Fock or number states [4].

In this frame, the coherent states for the electromagnetic field, introduced by Glauber [5, 6] and Sudarshan [7], have been very important. Besides, their importance is increased by the fact that these states are relatively easy to produce in the laboratory and in their classical wave behavior. These states can be obtained by different mathematical definitions:
a) As the right-hand eigenstates of the annihilation operator [6]

\[ \hat{a} |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle, \]

with \(\alpha\) a complex number.
b) As the those states obtained by the application of the displacement operator \(\hat{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^* \hat{a}}\) on the vacuum state of the harmonic oscillator [6]

\[ |\alpha\rangle = \hat{D}(\alpha) |0\rangle. \]

c) As those states which their time-evolving wave function shape does not change with time and whose centroid follows the motion of a classical point particle in a harmonic oscillator potential [8].
Using the harmonic oscillator algebra, one can obtain the same coherent states from the three different definitions; however, for systems with complex dynamical properties, the harmonic oscillator model is not adequate, therefore new methods to generalize the idea of coherent states for systems like those have been proposed.

Nieto and Simmons [9, 10, 11] constructed coherent states for potentials whose energy spectra have unequally spaced energy levels, such as the Poschl-Teller potential, the harmonic oscillator with centripetal barrier and the Morse potential.

Gazeau and Klauder [12] proposed a generalization for systems with one degree of freedom possessing discrete and continuous spectra. These states were constructed performing a parametrization of the coherent states by two real values: an amplitude $J$, and a phase $\gamma$, instead of a complex value $\alpha$.

Man’ko et al. [13] introduced coherent states of an $f$-deformed algebra as eigenstates of the annihilation operator $A = \hat{a} f(\hat{n})$ where $\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ is the number operator and $\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation boson operators of the harmonic oscillator algebra, respectively. A remarkable result is that these states present nonclassical properties such as squeezing and antibunching [14].

Récamier et al. [15] proposed coherent states using a deformed version of the displacement operator method generalized to the case of $f$-deformed oscillators, assuming that the number operator function appearing in the commutator between the deformed operators can be replaced by a number. The method yields a displacement operator which is approximately unitary and displaces the deformed annihilation $\hat{A}$ and creation $\hat{A}^\dagger$ operators in the usual way.

In this work, we construct nonlinear coherent states by the application of the displacement operator (for the Susskind-Glogower operators[16]) on the vacuum state and time-dependent $|n\rangle$ displaced number states as eigenfunctions of a Hamiltonian representing the fundamental physical coupling to the radiation field via the Susskind-Glogower operators. We show the Husimi $Q$ function [17] for the resulting states, as well as their photon distribution and Mandel $Q$-parameter [18], in order to determine the nonclassical features of the constructed states. Additionally, we show that nonlinear coherent states may be modeled by propagating light in semi-infinite arrays of optical fibers.

Nonlinear coherent states may be constructed using the Susskind-Glogower (SG) operators, for instance, defining a displacement operator for them acting on the vacuum state. The Susskind and Glogower proposed operators are

$$\hat{V} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n+1| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \hat{a},$$

$$\hat{V}^\dagger = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n+1\rangle \langle n| = \hat{a}^\dagger \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}},$$

satisfying the conditions

$$\hat{V} |n\rangle = |n-1\rangle,$$

$$\hat{V}^\dagger |n\rangle = |n+1\rangle.$$

Additionally, we would like to make explicit the result

$$\hat{V} |0\rangle = 0,$$

that comes naturally from (1).

The SG operators possess a non-commuting and non-unitary nature, that resides in the expressions

$$\hat{V} \hat{V}^\dagger = 1,$$

$$\hat{V}^\dagger \hat{V} = 1 - |0\rangle \langle 0|.$$

From the above expressions we can see that the non-commuting and non-unitary nature of SG operators is only apparent for states of the radiation field that have a significant overlap with the vacuum

$$\langle \psi | [\hat{V}, \hat{V}^\dagger] | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi |0\rangle \langle 0| \psi \rangle.$$

Therefore, for states where the vacuum contribution is negligible, we can consider them as unitary and commutative and we can perform the following approximation

$$\hat{V}^{-1} \approx \hat{V}^\dagger.$$
The properties of the SG operators play an important role in the development of the present work. For instance, if we analyze (1) and (2), we find that SG operators have the same form of the ones we need to construct nonlinear coherent states, i.e., \( \hat{V} = f (\hat{n} + 1) \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{V}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger f (\hat{n} + 1) \).

Following Récamier et al.\[15\] we define SG coherent states (from this point, we will refer to these states as SG coherent states, keeping in mind that, in fact, they present a nonlinear behavior) by

\[
|\alpha\rangle_{SG} = e^{x (\hat{V}^\dagger - \hat{V})} |0\rangle, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

As we have seen, commutation relations for the SG operators are not simple, so we cannot factorize the displacement operator in a simple way, which is why we propose two methods to solve the displacement operator. First, we use the approximation \( \hat{V}^{-1} \approx \hat{V}^\dagger \) to solve, approximately, the displacement operator. This solution helps us to understand how the exact solution for the displacement operator should be. Second, we solve the displacement operator in an exact way by developing it in a Taylor series, that allows us to introduce the exact solution for nonlinear coherent states, constructed with the SG operators. Finally, we analyze the constructed states via the \( Q \) function \[17\], the photon number distribution and the Mandel \( Q \)-parameter \[18\] in order to show their nonclassical features such as amplitude squeezing and quantum interferences.

2 Approximated displacement operator

A first approach to factorize the displacement operator in the product of exponentials, is to consider the approximation \( \hat{V}^{-1} \approx \hat{V}^\dagger \).

Let us write the displacement operator as

\[
D_{SG} \approx e^{x (\hat{V}^\dagger - [\hat{V}]^{-1})}.
\]

We find that the right-hand side of this equation corresponds to the generating function of Bessel functions, that implies that

\[
D_{SG} \approx \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{V}^n J_n (2x),
\]

where \( J_n \) is the Bessel function of the first kind and order \( n \).

Applying the displacement operator on the vacuum state, we have

\[
D_{SG} |0\rangle \approx c_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{V}^n J_n (2x) |0\rangle,
\]

and using that \( \hat{V}^\dagger |n\rangle = |n + 1\rangle \) and that \( \hat{V}^{-1} \approx \hat{V}^\dagger \), we obtain

\[
|\alpha\rangle_{SG} = D_{SG} |0\rangle \approx c_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n (2x) |n\rangle.
\]

From the normalization requirement we determine \( c_0 \),

\[
_{SG} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle_{SG} = c_0^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n (2x) \langle n | \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} J_m (2x) | m \rangle
= c_0^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n^2 (2x) = 1.
\]

Using the result \[19\]

\[
1 = J_0^2 (2x) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n^2 (2x),
\]

we obtain

\[
c_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + J_0^2 (2x)}}.
\]
and substituting in (14), we finally get
\[ |\alpha\rangle_{SG} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + J_0^2(2x)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n(2x) |n\rangle. \] (18)

As we mentioned before, solution (18) helps us to foresee the exact solution for these states. We can expect that the exact solution for $SG$ coherent states corresponds to a linear combination of number states where the coefficients are, except for some terms, Bessel functions of the first kind and order $n$.

### 3 Exact solution for the displacement operator

In order to “disentangle” the displacement operator in an exact way, we can develop the exponential (10) in a Taylor series and then to evaluate the terms $\left(\hat{V}^\dagger - \hat{V}\right)^k$. For instance, for $k = 7$ we have
\[
\left(\hat{V}^\dagger - \hat{V}\right)^7 = \\
=: \left(\hat{V}^\dagger - \hat{V}\right)^7 + \left(\frac{7}{2}\right) (|1\rangle \langle 0| - |0\rangle \langle 1|) \\
\quad - \left(\frac{7}{1}\right) (|3\rangle \langle 0| - |2\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 2| - |0\rangle \langle 3|) \\
\quad + \left(\frac{7}{0}\right) (|5\rangle \langle 0| - |4\rangle \langle 1| + |3\rangle \langle 2| - |2\rangle \langle 3| + |1\rangle \langle 4| - |0\rangle \langle 5|),
\] (19)

where $:\cdot:\cdot$ means to arrange terms in such a way that the powers of the operator $\hat{V}^\dagger$ are always at the left of the powers of the operator $\hat{V}$.

From the definition of the SG coherent states (10), we can write
\[
|\alpha\rangle_{SG} = e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle + \hat{V}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{k!}{n!} \hat{V}^{2n} \hat{V}^{\dagger k} |0\rangle,
\] (20)

where the square brackets in the sum stand for the floor function, which maps a real number to the largest previous integer.

We can rewrite the above equation as
\[
|\alpha\rangle_{SG} = e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle + \hat{V}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{k!}{n!} \hat{V}^{2n} \hat{V}^{\dagger k} |0\rangle,\] (21)

where we have taken the second sum to $\infty$ as we would add only zeros. We now exchange the order of the sums
\[
|\alpha\rangle_{SG} = \\
= e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle + \hat{V}^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^k}{(k-n)! n!} \hat{V}^{2n} \hat{V}^{\dagger k} |0\rangle,\] (22)

By setting $m = k - n$ and using that
\[
\hat{V}^{2n} \hat{V}^{\dagger m+n} |0\rangle = \hat{V}^m \hat{V}^{\dagger m} |0\rangle,
\] (23)
we get
\[ |\alpha\rangle_{SG} = \]
\[ = e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle + \hat{V}^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{m+n}}{m!n!} \hat{V}^n \hat{V}^\dagger |0\rangle \]
\[ = e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle + \hat{V}^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(-x\hat{V})^n}{n!} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x\hat{V}^\dagger)^m}{m!} |0\rangle \]
\[ = e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle + \hat{V}^2 e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle , \]
to finally obtain
\[ |\alpha\rangle_{SG} = \left( 1 + \hat{V}^2 \right) e^{-x\hat{V}} e^{x\hat{V}^\dagger} |0\rangle . \] (24)

Applying the exponential terms on the vacuum state, we have
\[ |\alpha\rangle_{SG} = \left( 1 + \hat{V}^2 \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n (2x) |n\rangle \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n (2x) |n\rangle + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_n (2x) |n-2\rangle , \] (25)
making \( m = n - 2 \) in the second sum and performing the index change \( n = k - 1 \), we obtain
\[ |\alpha\rangle_{SG} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ J_{k-1} (2x) + J_{k+1} (2x) \right] |k-1\rangle . \] (26)

Using the recurrence relation of the Bessel functions [19]
\[ xJ_{n-1} (x) + xJ_{n+1} (x) = 2nJ_n (x) , \] (27)
and changing again the summation index, we finally write
\[ |\alpha\rangle_{SG} = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 1) J_{n+1} (2x) |n\rangle . \] (28)

Equation (28) is an important result because it constitutes a new expression for nonlinear coherent states. It remains to analyze the behavior of the constructed states in order to determine the nonclassical features that nonlinear coherent states may exhibit.

Before we proceed with the analysis, and since we will need this result later, we make clear that, as we can verify from (28), for \( x = 0 \), we have
\[ |\alpha (x = 0)\rangle_{SG} = |0\rangle . \] (29)

4 SG coherent states analysis

There are different ways to find out if the state we are constructing resembles one that we already know. Here, we will use three different methods: the Husimi \( Q \) function [17], the photon number distribution and the Mandel \( Q \)-parameter [18].

4.1 The \( Q \) function

The \( Q \) function, introduced by Husimi [17], corresponds to a quasiprobability function that helps us to determine the behavior of a quantum state in phase space. The \( Q \) function is defined as the coherent state expectation value of the density operator and is given by
\[ Q = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \alpha | \hat{\rho} | \alpha \rangle . \] (30)
We plot the $Q$ function for a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ and for a number state $|n\rangle$ in Figure 1. If we substitute $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi |$ for the SG coherent states in (30), we obtain

$$Q_{SG}(\alpha, t) = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} (n + 1) J_{n+1}(2x)^2. \quad (31)$$

Figure 2 shows the SG coherent states $Q$ function for different values of the parameter $x$. We observe that the initial coherent state squeezes. Later, we will find the value of $x$ for which we obtain the maximum squeezing of the coherent state. We can also see that, as the parameter $x$ gets bigger, the state splits into two coherent-like states, this produces quantum interferences, as we will see later.

### 4.2 Photon number distribution

When one studies a quantum state, it is important to know about its photon statistics. The photon number probability distribution $P(n) = \langle n|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha |n \rangle = |\langle n|\alpha \rangle|^2$ is useful to determine amplitude squeezing. We should refer to amplitude squeezed light as light for which the photon number distribution is usually narrower than the one of a coherent state of the same amplitude. The photon number distribution is also useful to analyze if there exist effects due to quantum interferences. Using $P(n) = |\langle n|\alpha \rangle|^2$, we write the SG coherent states photon number distribution as

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{n+1}{J_{n+1}(2x)} \right)^2. \quad (32)$$

Figure 3 shows the SG coherent states photon number distribution for different values of the amplitude parameter $x$.

Figure 3 helps us to understand the effect of quantum interferences; for instance, consider Figure 3(c). We see that it is not a uniform distribution of photons, the distribution has “holes”; these holes are the consequence of the interference between the two states arising from the splitting of the initial one. Comparing Figure 3(a) with the one obtained for a coherent state wave function that is moving through the harmonic oscillator potential between the classical turning points, we can see that the photon number distribution for the SG coherent states is narrower than the one for a coherent state of the same amplitude. This suggests that we are, indeed, obtaining an amplitude squeezed state. It is interesting to know when the state is maximally squeezed, but we need another tool to obtain the value of the parameter $x$ for which this occurs.
Figure 2: Exact SG coherent states Q function for (a) $x = 1$; (b) $x = 5$; (c) $x = 10$ and (d) $x = 20$.

### 4.3 Mandel Q-parameter

There has been an extensive argument about which is the better way to determine the quantumness of a given state; nevertheless, there exists a very useful tool for determining the nature of the states we have constructed. This tool is called the Mandel Q-parameter [18]. We will use it not only because it represents a good parameter to define the quantumness of SG coherent states but also, because it will allow us to find the domain of $x$ for which they exhibit a nonclassical behavior; moreover, it will help us to find the value of $x$ for which the state is maximally squeezed.

The Mandel Q-parameter is defined by

$$Q = \frac{\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2}{\langle \hat{n} \rangle} - 1,$$

where

$$\text{If } Q \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{super Poissonian distribution} \\ = 0, & \text{Poissonian distribution (coherent state)} \\ < 0, & \text{sub-Poissonian} \\ = -1, & \text{number state}. \end{cases}$$

For the SG coherent states we have that

$$\langle \hat{n} \rangle = \frac{1}{x^2} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^3 J_k^2(2x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 J_k^2(2x) \right],$$

(35)
Figure 3: SG coherent states photon number probability distributions for (a) $x = 1$; (b) $x = 5$; (c) $x = 10$ and (d) $x = 20$.

and

$$
\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{x^2} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^4 J_k^2(2x) - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^3 J_k^2(2x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 J_k^2(2x) \right].
$$

(36)

The even sums, with respect to the power of $k$, in (35) and (36), can be evaluated. In the Appendix, we show explicitly that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 J_k^2(2x) = x^2,
$$

(37)

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^4 J_k^2(2x) = 3x^4 + x^2.
$$

(38)

The sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^3 J_k^2(2x)$ is more complicated, and can be evaluated using the technics developed by Dattolli et al [20], to

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^3 J_k^2(2x) = x^2 \{(6x^2 + 1)J_0^2(2x) + (6x^2 - 1)J_1^2(2x)
- 2xJ_0(2x)J_1(2x) + \frac{2x^2}{3} [J_0(2x)J_2(2x) + J_1(2x)J_3(2x)]\}.
$$

(39)

Substituting the values of the sums into equation (33), we obtain the plot shown in Figure 4. From Figure 4 we
can see that, depending on the parameter $x$, the photon distribution of the constructed states is sub-poissonian, $Q < 0$, meaning that amplitude squeezing states may be find for a value of $x$ within the domain $0 < x \leq 13.48$. Also, we find that the most squeezed state may be obtained at $x = 2.32$, with $Q = -0.64$.

At this point, all the comments on the results have been made considering $x$ as a parameter; however, in what follows, we will see that $x$ corresponds to an expression that depends explicitly on what we should refer to as interaction time.

5 Eigenfunctions of the SG Hamiltonian

Previously we managed to construct nonlinear coherent states applying the displacement operator on the vacuum state. However, even when the obtained results are very interesting, we cannot avoid to wonder how these states could be physically interpreted. Physical interpretations are given by operators representing observables, i.e., quantities that can be measured in the laboratory. The most important observable is the Hamiltonian, this operator helps us to find the energy distribution of a state via its eigenvalues.

Here, we construct SG coherent states as eigenfunctions of a Hamiltonian that we propose and which represents the fundamental coupling to the radiation field via the SG operators. In section 5.1 we construct time-dependent SG coherent states with the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ as initial condition, i.e., we construct states that satisfy $|\alpha(x = 0)\rangle_{SG} = |0\rangle$. In section 5.2 we generalize the eigenfunction problem for an arbitrary $|m\rangle$ initial condition, we also show that previous results correspond to the particular case $m = 0$ and in section 5.3 we make use of the three methods presented in the previous chapter in order to analyze the properties and time-evolution of the constructed states.

5.1 Solution for $|0\rangle$ as initial condition

As we mentioned before, it is possible to construct SG coherent states as eigenfunctions of the interaction Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \eta \left( \hat{V} + \hat{V}^\dagger \right),$$

(40)

where $\eta$ is the coupling coefficient. Hamiltonians like this may be produced in ion-traps \[21\] \[22\] \[23\].

The Hamiltonian proposed in (40) corresponds to a variation of the one used in [23] to model physical couplings to the radiation field. Here, physical couplings take place via the SG operators.
We write the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian (40) in the interaction picture as
\[ |\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \ket{n} \] (41)
and we have
\[ \hat{H} |\psi\rangle = \eta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \ket{n-1} + \eta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \ket{n+1}. \] (42)

Now, changing the summation indexes, we obtain
\[ \hat{H} |\psi\rangle = \eta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} \ket{n} + \eta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ket{C_{n+1} + C_{n-1}} n \] (43)
\[ = \eta C_1 \ket{0} + \eta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (C_{n+1} + C_{n-1}) \ket{n}. \]

Then
\[ \eta C_1 \ket{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta (C_{n+1} + C_{n-1}) \ket{n} = \]
\[ = EC_0 \ket{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EC_n \ket{n}. \] (44)

Comparing coefficients with same number states of the sum, we have
\[ C_1 = \frac{E}{\eta} C_0, \] (45)
\[ \eta (C_{n+1} + C_{n-1}) = EC_n. \] (46)

These are the recurrence relation of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind (19), and we can write
\[ |\psi(t; \xi)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-iEt} U_n(\xi) \ket{n}, \] (47)
where
\[ E = 2\eta \xi. \] (48)

However (47) does not satisfies the initial condition \(|\psi(t=0)\rangle = \ket{0}\). Moreover, the solution (47) has the parameter \(\xi\) and, as we see from (10), we should not have another parameter, except for the time \(t\).

A way to construct a solution, as the one we previously obtained in (28), is by looking at the exponential term in (47) and noticing that it has the form of the Fourier transform kernel; so, we need to propose a \(\xi\)-dependent function and integrate it over all \(\xi\), in order to obtain a solution where time is the only variable. We have then,
\[ |\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi P(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} \ket{n}. \] (49)

We see from the above equation that \(|\psi(t)\rangle\) corresponds to a sum of Fourier transforms of Chebyshev polynomials with respect to a weight function \(P(\xi)\). This kind of Fourier transforms may be solved by using the following result (24)
\[ \mathcal{F} \left\{ \frac{J_n(\omega)}{\omega} \right\} = \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{i}{n} (-i)^n U_{n-1}(\xi) \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \text{rect} \left( \frac{\xi}{2} \right), \] (50)
where $\mathcal{F}\{\cdot\}$ is the Fourier transform and
\[
\text{rect}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & , -1 \leq \xi \leq 1 \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{51}
\]
From (50) we write
\[
\frac{J_n(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2i}{\pi n} (-i)^n U_n-1(\xi) \sqrt{1-\xi^2} \text{rect}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) e^{i\omega \xi} d\xi. \tag{52}
\]
Using definition (51), and making $k = n - 1$, we obtain
\[
\frac{J_{k+1}(\omega)}{\omega} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{i (-i)^{k+1}}{k+1} \sqrt{1-\xi^2} U_k(\xi) e^{i\omega \xi} d\xi. \tag{53}
\]
With equation (53) it is possible to solve the integral in (49). Writing the weight function as
\[
P(\xi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-\xi^2} \text{rect}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right), \tag{54}
\]
substituting it into equation (49) and using now (53), we get
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{2}{-2\eta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n (n+1) J_{n+1}(-2\eta t) |n\rangle. \tag{55}
\]
Considering the odd parity of the Bessel functions, we finally obtain
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\eta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n (n+1) J_{n+1}(2\eta t) |n\rangle. \tag{56}
\]
We see that $|\psi(t)\rangle$ in equation (56) depends only on $t$ and considering that
\[
\lim_{2\eta t \to 0} \frac{J_n(2\eta t)}{2\eta t} = \begin{cases} 0 & , n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots \\ \frac{1}{2} & , n = 1, \end{cases} \tag{57}
\]
we can verify that
\[
|\psi(t = 0)\rangle = |0\rangle. \tag{58}
\]
The equation (56) corresponds to the expression for SG coherent states that we obtained previously (28).

The solution presented in this section allows us to notice that, while in the previous chapter $x$ was only a parameter, now it represents something physical. It may be related to an interaction time, for example, in the motion of a trapped atom [23]. We have managed to construct the same expression for the SG coherent states as the one obtained by the application of the displacement operator on the vacuum state; however, we will see that the formalism presented in this section may be used to generalize the solution for an arbitrary initial condition $|m\rangle$.

5.2 Solution for $|m\rangle$ as initial condition

At this point, we have managed to construct SG coherent states, first as those obtained by the application of the displacement operator on the vacuum state and later, as eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian (40); however, they are a particular case of a more general expression.
Using the recurrence relation for the Chebyshev polynomials and the result \( (53) \), it is possible to generalize SG coherent states to an arbitrary \(|m\rangle\) initial condition, where \( m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

From equation (49), we have that
\[
|\psi(t = 0)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi P_n(\xi) U_n(\xi) \langle n |,
\]
and considering the function
\[
P_m(\xi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} U_m(\xi) \left( \frac{\xi}{2} \right),
\]
and the well known Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind orthonormal condition
\[
\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} U_n(\xi) U_m(\xi) \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} d\xi = \delta_{nm},
\]
we obtain
\[
|\psi(t = 0)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{nm} |n\rangle.
\]

To obtain the solution for the \( m \)-state, let us consider the particular cases \( m = 0 \) and \( m = 1 \).

For \( m = 0 \),
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle_{m=0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} d\xi |n\rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} U_0(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} \ \text{rect} \left( \frac{\xi}{2} \right) d\xi |n\rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} U_0(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} d\xi |n\rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} d\xi |n\rangle.
\]

Using (62) and making \( n \to n + 1 \), we have
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle_{m=0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n (n + 1) \frac{J_{n+1}(2\eta t)}{\eta t} |n\rangle.
\]

Using the recurrence relation
\[
x J_{n-1}(2x) + x J_{n+1}(2x) = n J_n(2x),
\]
and changing the summation index, we finally obtain
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle_{m=0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [i^n J_n(2\eta t) + i^{n+1} J_{n+2}(2\eta t)] |n\rangle.
\]

For \( m = 1 \), we have
\[
|\psi(t)\rangle_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} d\xi |n\rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} U_1(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} \ \text{rect} \left( \frac{\xi}{2} \right) d\xi |n\rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} U_1(\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} d\xi |n\rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} (2\xi) U_n(\xi) e^{-i2\eta \xi t} d\xi |n\rangle.
\]
Using the recurrence relation for the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, we write

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \left(U_{n-1}(\xi) + U_{n+1}(\xi)\right) e^{-i2\eta t} d\xi |n|. \]  

(67)

Considering \[52\] for the first integral, and making \(n = n + 2\) for the second one, we have

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\eta t} \left[i^{n+1} (n + 2) J_{n+2}(2\eta t) + i^{n+1} n J_{n}(2\eta t)\right] |n|. \]  

(68)

We now construct a different expression for the above equation \[68\]. Let us write it in the following way,

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -i^{n+2-1} \frac{1}{\eta t} n J_{n}(2\eta t) + i^{n+2-1} \frac{1}{\eta t} (n + 2) J_{n+2}(2\eta t) \right\}, \]  

(69)

making \(k = n + 2\)

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -i^{k-1} \frac{1}{\eta t} (k - 2) J_{k-2}(2\eta t) + i^{k-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta t} k J_{k}(2\eta t) \right] \right\}, \]  

(70)

using the recurrence relation \[64\], we write

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -i^{k-1} \frac{1}{\eta t} (k - 2) J_{k-2}(2\eta t) + i^{k-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta t} (k - 2) J_{k-2}(2\eta t) - J_{k-1}(2\eta t) - J_{k-1}(2\eta t) \right] \right\} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -i^{k-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta t} (k - 2) J_{k-2}(2\eta t) - J_{k-1}(2\eta t) \right] + i^{k-1} J_{k-1}(2\eta t) \right\} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ i^{k-3} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta t} (k - 2) J_{k-2}(2\eta t) - J_{k-1}(2\eta t) \right] + i^{k-1} J_{k-1}(2\eta t) \right\} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ i^{k-2-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta t} (k - 2) J_{k-2}(2\eta t) - J_{k-2+1}(2\eta t) \right] + i^{k-2+1} J_{k-2+3}(2\eta t) \right\}. \]  

(71)

Making \(n = k - 2\), we obtain

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ i^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta t} n J_{n}(2\eta t) - J_{n+1}(2\eta t) \right] + i^{n+1} J_{n+3}(2\eta t) \right\}. \]  

(72)

Using again the recurrence relation \[64\], we finally write

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ i^{n-1} J_{n-1}(2\eta t) + i^{n+1} J_{n+3}(2\eta t) \right] |n|. \]  

(73)

Following the same procedure, it is easy to prove that for \(m = 2\),

\[ |\psi(t)|_{m=2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ i^{n-2} J_{n-2}(2\eta t) + i^{n+2} J_{n+4}(2\eta t) \right] |n|. \]  

(74)
Rewriting results (65), (73) and (74),

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle_{m=0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ i^{n-0} J_{n-0} (2\eta t) + i^{n+0} J_{n+0+2} (2\eta t) \right] |n\rangle, \]

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle_{m=1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ i^{n-1} J_{n-1} (2\eta t) + i^{n+1} J_{n+1+2} (2\eta t) \right] |n\rangle, \]

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle_{m=2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ i^{n-2} J_{n-2} (2\eta t) + i^{n+2} J_{n+2+2} (2\eta t) \right] |n\rangle, \] (75)

\[ ... \]

It is easy to see that the solution for the \( m \)-initial condition is

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle_{m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ i^{n-m} J_{n-m} (2\eta t) + i^{n+m} J_{n+m+2} (2\eta t) \right] |n\rangle. \] (76)

We have constructed a new expression for nonlinear coherent states and that we call \( \text{SG} \) coherent states. We have managed to construct an expression that allows us to study the time evolution of \( \text{SG} \) coherent states for an arbitrary \( |m\rangle \) initial condition. We also found the physical interpretation of the parameter \( x \) (used in previous sections) as a normalized interaction time with respect to the coupling strength, i.e., \( x = \eta t \). We analyze now the nonclassical features of the constructed states, so we have to make use of the methods previously mentioned, these are the \( Q \) function, the photon number distribution and the Mandel \( Q \)-parameter.

5.3 Time-dependent \( \text{SG} \) coherent states analysis

To perform a complete description of the constructed states (76), we have to verify if they present the nonclassical features that nonlinear coherent states may exhibit. In order to study these nonclassical features, we propose to use three methods. First, we analyze their behavior in phase space via the \( Q \) function; then, because we want to analyze amplitude squeezing and quantum interferences, we show the photon number distribution of the constructed states, and finally, as we want to know when the constructed states are maximally squeezed, we show the Mandel \( Q \)-parameter.

5.3.1 \( Q \) function

Considering the definition \( Q = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \alpha | \hat{\rho} | \alpha \rangle \) and writing \( \hat{\rho} \) in terms of the constructed states (76), we write the \( Q \) function for the time-dependent \( \text{SG} \) coherent states as

\[ Q_{\text{SG}} (\alpha) = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \left[ i^{n-m} J_{n-m} (2\eta t) + i^{n+m} J_{n+m+2} (2\eta t) \right]^2. \] (77)

Figure 5 shows the time-evolved \( Q \) function of \( \text{SG} \) coherent states for different initial conditions. The figure is structured as follows: The time evolution is shown in each row, for example, (a) represents the time evolution of \( \text{SG} \) coherent states for \( |0\rangle \) as initial condition and the index (i) represents the normalized interaction time \( \eta t \). We have chosen (ii) to be \( \eta t = 2.32 \), because, as we showed previously, it is the time when the state with initial condition \( |0\rangle \) is maximally squeezed. The nonclassical features of the constructed states are summarized in Figure 5. \( \text{SG} \) coherent states present a strong amplitude squeezing (Figure 5(a,ii)), splitting into two coherent-like states (Figure 5(b,iii)) and, as a consequence of the splitting, pronounced quantum interferences (Figure 5(c,iii)).
An interesting result is that no matter what initial condition we choose, SG coherent states eventually split into two coherent-like states. This is very interesting result because such a distribution corresponds to states called Schrödinger’s cat states, which are very useful in quantum information processing [25].

### 5.3.2 Photon number distribution

To complement the description of the nonclassical features that we observed from the $Q$ function, we show in Figure 6 the photon number distribution of the SG coherent states considering the same conditions of Figure 5.

Time-dependent SG coherent states photon number distribution for the $m$-initial condition is given by

$$P_m(n,t) = |i^{n-m}J_{n-m}(2\eta t) + i^{n+m}J_{n+m+2}(2\eta t)|^2,$$

(78)

### 5.3.3 Mandel $Q$-parameter

As we want to know the time domain for which the constructed states exhibit amplitude squeezing, and moreover, we want to know when the states are maximally squeezed, we obtain the Mandel $Q$-parameter for the time-dependent SG coherent states.
Figure 6: SG coherent states photon number probability distributions for (i) $\eta t = 1$; (ii) $\eta t = 2.32$; (iii) $\eta t = 5$ and (iv) $\eta t = 20$, with initial conditions (a) $|0\rangle$; (b) $|1\rangle$; (c) $|5\rangle$ and (d) $|10\rangle$.

We have that

$$\langle \hat{n} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \left[ J_{n-m} (2\eta t) + (-1)^m J_{n+m+2} (2\eta t) \right]^{2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (79)$$

and

$$\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 \left[ J_{n-m} (2\eta t) + (-1)^m J_{n+m+2} (2\eta t) \right]^{2}.$$ 

Substituting in the definition of the Mandel $Q$-parameter, equation (33), we obtain the plot shown in Figure 7. From Figure 7, we see that (a) shows the $Q$-parameter for the SG coherent states where the maximum squeezing happens when $\eta t = 2.32$. From the others, we cannot observe squeezing, because the initial condition is a full squeezed state, a number state; however, we obtain that an initial number state eventually transforms into two coherent-like states that we may identify as Schrödinger’s cat states and, due to the splitting, we observe quantum interferences.

The generalization (76) does not give us different effects from the ones that we may obtain from (56); however, as we will see in the next section, equation (76) helps us to show that nonlinear coherent states may be modeled by propagating light in semi-infinite arrays of optical fibers.
6 Classical quantum analogies

The modeling of quantum mechanical systems with classical optics is a topic that has attracted interest recently. Along these lines Man’ko et al. [26] have proposed to realize quantum computation by quantum like systems, Chávez-Cerda et al. [27] have shown how quantum-like entanglement may be realized in classical optics, and Crasser et al. [28] have pointed out the similarities between quantum mechanics and Fresnel optics in phase space. Following these cross-applications, here we show that nonlinear coherent states may be modeled by propagating light in semi-infinite arrays of optical fibers.

Makris et al. [29] have shown that for a semi-infinite array of optical fibers, the normalized modal amplitude in the \( n \)th optical fiber (after the \( m \)th has been initially excited) is written as

\[
a_n(Z) = A_0 \left[ i^{n-m} J_{n-m}(2Z) + i^{n+m} J_{n+m+2}(2Z) \right],
\]

where \( Z = cz \) is the normalized propagation distance with respect to the coupling coefficient \( c \).

We see that, for \( A_0 = 1 \) the normalized intensity distribution is

\[
I_n(Z) = \left| i^{n-m} J_{n-m}(2Z) + i^{n+m} J_{n+m+2}(2Z) \right|^2.
\]

Rewriting (78) and using the normalized interaction time with respect to the coupling coefficient \( \eta \), i.e., \( x = \eta t \), we have

\[
P_m(n, x) = \left| i^{n-m} J_{n-m}(2x) + i^{n+m} J_{n+m+2}(2x) \right|^2.
\]

As equations (82) and (83) are the same, we conclude that the photon number distribution for the \( \mathbf{SG} \) coherent states may be modeled by the intensity distribution of propagating light in semi-infinite arrays of optical fibers. We have found a new relation between quantum mechanical systems and classical optics.

7 Conclusions

We managed to construct a new expression for nonlinear coherent states, that we called \( \mathbf{SG} \) coherent states, by developing the displacement operator in a Taylor series. The importance of nonlinear coherent states resides in the nonclassical features that they may exhibit and, in order to analyze the nonclassical behavior of the constructed states, we presented three methods: the \( Q \) function, the photon number distribution and the Mandel \( Q \)-parameter.

The expression for the \( \mathbf{SG} \) coherent states depends on a parameter \( x \) and, considering the values of \( x \), we found that

![Figure 7: \( \mathbf{SG} \) states Mandel \( Q \)-parameter with initial conditions (a) \( |0\rangle \); (b) \( |1\rangle \); (c) \( |5\rangle \) and (d) \( |10\rangle \).]
• For a certain domain of $x$, SG coherent states exhibit amplitude squeezing; i.e., their photon number distribution is narrower than the one of a coherent state of the same amplitude. Using the Mandel $Q$-parameter we determined that maximum squeezing occurs when $x = 2.32$.

• For larger values of $x$, SG coherent states split into two coherent-like states, this superposition of coherent states is called Schrödinger’s cat states and are very useful in quantum information processing [25]. We also found that the splitting of the states gives rise to quantum interference effects.

We also constructed SG coherent states as eigenfunctions of a Hamiltonian for the SG operators representing physical couplings to the radiation field. We managed to obtain the solution as the one obtained before, except for a phase term that rotates by $\pi/2$ the $Q$ function, but it does not change the results that we have already found. The obtained expression for SG coherent states helped us to physically interpret the parameter $x$ as a normalized interaction time with respect to the coupling coefficient $\eta$.

The formalism presented in this part allowed us to construct a solution for an arbitrary initial condition $|m\rangle$. Equation (76) is the most important result of this work, because it represents a new expression for nonlinear coherent states; moreover, it represents a general expression for an arbitrary $|m\rangle$ initial condition.

Analyzing expression (76), we found that a multiple splitting of the states occurs; this gives rise to interesting structures of the phase-space distribution as the one shown in Figure 5(c,iii). Except for that result, the generalized solution presented the same effects as the particular case $m = 0$; however, it turns out to be very useful to establish an interesting relation between quantum and classical optics. We showed that SG coherent states photon number distribution may be modeled by the intensity distribution of propagating light in semi-infinite arrays of optical fibers. With this finding, we have presented a new analogy between quantum mechanical systems and classical optics.

Appendix

Sums of the Bessel functions of the first kind of integer order

We will derive in this appendix the solution of some sums of Bessel functions of the first kind of integer order that appear in several applications, and in particular, that appear in this contribution in the section where we calculate the Mandel $Q$-parameter (section 4.3). We will demonstrate that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2\nu} J_{k}^2 (x) = \frac{(-1)^\nu}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B_{2\nu} \left( g' (y) , g'' (y) , ..., g^{(2\nu)} (y) \right) dy,$$

(84)

where $\nu$ is a positive integer, $g (y) = ix \sin y$ and $B_n (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is the complete Bell polynomial [30, 31, 32] given by the following determinant:
To calculate the \( B_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \), we will need the well known Jacobi-Anger expansions for the Bessel functions of the first kind ([33], page 933, [34], page 361; [19], page 70),

\[
e^{ix \cos y} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} i^n J_n(x) e^{iny}
\]

and

\[
e^{ix \sin y} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(x) e^{iny}.
\]

Using expression (86), we can easily write

\[
\frac{d^n}{dy^n} e^{ix \sin y} = i^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k^n J_k(x) e^{iky}.
\]

To calculate the \( n \)-derivative in the left side of equation above, we use the Faà di Bruno’s formula ([33], page 22) for the \( n \)-derivative of the composition

\[
\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(g(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{(k)}(g(x)) \cdot B_{n,k}\left(g'(x), g''(x), \ldots, g^{(n-k+1)}(x)\right),
\]

where \( B_{n,k}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}) \) is a Bell polynomial [30] [31] [32], given by

\[
B_{n,k}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}) = \frac{n!}{j_1!j_2! \cdots j_{n-k+1}!} \times \left(\frac{x_1}{1!}\right)^{j_1} \left(\frac{x_2}{2!}\right)^{j_2} \cdots \left(\frac{x_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\right)^{j_{n-k+1}}
\]

the sum extending over all sequences \( j_1, j_2, j_3, \ldots, j_{n-k+1} \) of non-negative integers such that \( j_1 + j_2 + \ldots + j_{n-k+1} = k \) and \( j_1 + 2j_2 + 3j_3 + \ldots + (n-k+1)j_{n-k+1} = n \).
Using (89),
\[
\frac{d^n}{dy^n}e^{ix \sin y} = e^{ix \sin y} \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k} \left( g'(x), g''(x), \ldots, g^{(n-k+1)}(x) \right).
\] (91)

We multiply now for the complex conjugate of (87) and obtain
\[
i^n \sum_{k,l=-\infty}^{\infty} k^n J_l(x) J_k(x) e^{i(k-l)y} = B_n \left( g'(y), g''(y), \ldots, g^{(n)}(y) \right).
\] (92)

Integrating both sides of the above equation from \(-\pi\) to \(\pi\), and using that \(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(k-l)y} dy = \delta_{kl}\), we arrive to the formula we wanted
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2\nu} J_k^2(x) = \frac{(-1)^\nu}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B_{2\nu} \left( g'(y), g''(y), \ldots, g^{(2\nu)}(y) \right) dy.
\] (93)

In particular, as the complete Bell polynomials for \(n=2\) and \(n=4\), are
\[
B_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2
\] (94)
and
\[
B_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1^4 + 6x_1^2x_2 + 4x_1x_3 + 3x_2^2 + x_4,
\] (95)
it is very easy to show that,
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 J_k^2(x) = \frac{1}{4} x^2
\] (96)
and
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^4 J_k^2(x) = \frac{3}{16} x^4 + \frac{1}{4} x^2.
\] (97)
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